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#### Abstract

We present an explicit formula of the powers for the $2 \times 2$ quantum matrices, that is a natural quantum analogue of the powers of the usual $2 \times 2$ matrices. As applications, we give some non-commutative relations of the entries of the powers for the $2 \times 2$ quantum matrices, which is a simple proof of the results of Vokos-Zumino-Wess (1990).


## 1 Introduction

Let $A$ be a $2 \times 2$ matrix over a fixed base field $k$ and $a, b, c, d \in k$ are entries of $A$ :

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For any positive number $n$, the following explicit formula of $A^{n}$ holds:

$$
A^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a f_{n-1}(\operatorname{tr} A)-\operatorname{det} A f_{n-2}(\operatorname{tr} A) & b f_{n-1}(\operatorname{tr} A) \\
c f_{n-1}(\operatorname{tr} A) & d f_{n-1}(\operatorname{tr} A)-\operatorname{det} A f_{n-2}(\operatorname{tr} A) \tag{1.1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

[^0]Here $f_{n}(x)$ is the polynomial of degree $n$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{n}(x)=f_{n}(x, y):=\sum_{l=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}(-1)^{l}\binom{n-l}{l} x^{n-2 l} y^{l}, \quad f_{-1}(x):=0 \quad, \quad \text { (1.2) which is } \\
\text { the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind: }
\end{array}
$$

$$
U_{n}(x):=\sum_{l=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}(-1)^{l}\binom{n-l}{l} x^{n-2 l} .
$$

In fact this formula is well-known in linear algebra, and its proof is easy by induction and the recurrence relation for $f_{n}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n+1}(x)=x f_{n}(x)-y f_{n-1}(x) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this note, we give a quantum analogue of the formula (1.1) and its applications. First, we review some fundamental objects and facts on quantum matrix or groups $[\mathrm{M}],[\mathrm{T}]$ relevant to the main results of this paper.

We call $A$ a $2 \times 2(q$-)quantum matrix if its entries satisfy the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a b=q b a, \quad a c=q c a, \quad a d-d a=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) b c, \\
& b c=c b, \quad b d=q d b, \quad c d=q d c, \tag{q}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $q$ is a central indeterminate. A quantum analogue of the coordinate ring $\mathrm{A}_{q}(\operatorname{Mat}(2))$ is the algebra generated by $a, b, c, d$ and $q$ which is a typical example of quantum groups.

The quantum adjoint matrix of any quantum matrix $A$ is defined as

$$
\hat{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{a} & \hat{b}  \tag{1.4}\\
\hat{c} & \hat{d}
\end{array}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -q^{-1} b \\
-q c & a
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By the definition of ${ }^{A \wedge}$ and the relations $\left(R_{q}\right)$, the quantum adjoint matrix $A^{\wedge}$ satisfies the relations $\left(R_{q-1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{\wedge} b=q^{-1} b a, \wedge \quad a^{\wedge} c^{\wedge}=q^{-1} c^{\wedge} a, \wedge \quad a^{\wedge} d^{\wedge}-d^{\wedge} a^{\wedge}=\left(q^{-1}-q\right)^{\wedge} b c, \wedge \\
& \wedge b c^{\wedge}=c^{\wedge} b, \wedge b d^{\wedge}=q^{-1} d^{\wedge} b, \quad c^{\wedge} d^{\wedge}=q^{-1} d^{\wedge} c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the relations $\left(R_{q}\right)$ are equivalent to

$$
b c=c b, \quad A \hat{A}=\hat{A} A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\delta & 0  \tag{1.5}\\
0 & \delta
\end{array}\right)=\delta E_{2}
$$

where $E_{2}$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix, and $\delta:=a d-q b c=d a-q^{-1} b c$ is the quantum determinant of $A$ which is a central element of $\mathrm{A}_{q}(\operatorname{Mat}(2))$.

For convenience, we introduce a $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
C:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}}!
\end{array}\right.
$$

and put

$$
\tau:=\operatorname{tr}(A C)=q^{\frac{1}{2}} a+q^{-\frac{1}{2}} d, \quad \tau^{\prime}:=\operatorname{tr}\left(C^{-1} A\right)=q^{-\frac{1}{2}} a+q^{\frac{1}{2}} d .
$$

Our main results are following.
Theorem 1.1. For any positive integer $n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A n & =A C-n+1 f_{n-1}(\tau)-C-n \delta f_{n}-2(\tau)  \tag{1.6}\\
& =f_{n-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) C_{n-1} A-f_{n-2}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \delta C_{n}, \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f_{n}(\tau):=f_{n}(\tau, \delta)=\sum_{l=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}(-1)^{l}\binom{n-l}{l} \tau^{n-2 l} \delta^{l}, \quad f_{-1}(\tau):=0
$$

Let us put

$$
A^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n} & b_{n} \\
c_{n} & d_{n}
\end{array}\right), \quad \hat{A}^{m}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{a}_{m} & \hat{b}_{m} \\
\hat{c}_{m} & \hat{d}_{m}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By comparing the entries of $A^{n}$ and (1.6), (1.7), we obtain the following explicit formulas of the entries of $A^{n}$.

Corollary 1.2. For any positive integer $n$, we have

$$
a_{n}=q^{-} \underline{-}^{n-1} a f_{n-1}(\tau)-q^{-}{ }_{-}^{2} \delta f_{n-2}^{n}(\tau)=q-{ }^{2}{ }^{2} f_{n-1}^{n-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) a-q_{-}^{2} \delta f_{n-2}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{n}=q \coprod_{n-1}^{2} b f_{n-1}(\tau)=q \longrightarrow^{2} f_{n-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) b,  \tag{1.9}\\
& c_{n}=q-\longrightarrow_{2} 2 c f_{n-1}(\tau)=q-\longrightarrow_{2} f_{n-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) c,  \tag{1.10}\\
& \delta f_{n-2}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As applications, we give the following results, in particular Theorem 1.4. Corollary 1.3. For any positive integer $m$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\hat{a^{\prime}}}_{m}=\stackrel{m-1}{ } q^{2} a f^{\hat{m}}{ }_{m-1}(\tau)-q^{2} \delta f_{m-2}(\tau) \\
& =q^{-\frac{m^{-1}}{2}} f_{m-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \hat{a}-q^{-\frac{m}{2}} \delta f_{m-2}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=d_{m},  \tag{1.12}\\
& \hat{b}_{m}=q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{b} f_{m_{-1}}(\tau)=q^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{m-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \hat{b}=-q^{-m} b_{m},  \tag{1.13}\\
& {\hat{c^{\prime}}}_{m}=q \longrightarrow^{m-1} c f^{2}{ }_{m-1}(\tau)=q^{m-1}{ }^{2} f_{m-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) c^{\wedge}=-q^{m} c_{m}, d^{\lambda} m=  \tag{1.14}\\
& q-\text { _m }^{m-1} d f^{f} m-1(\tau)-q--m 2 \delta f_{m-2}(\tau) \\
& =q^{-\frac{\omega^{2}}{2}} f_{m-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \hat{d}-q^{-\frac{2}{2}} \delta f_{m-2}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=a_{m} . \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1.4 ([VZW]). For any non-negative integers $m$ and $n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{m} a \\
& d_{m} a_{n}-q-m b m c_{n}=a_{n} d_{m}-q_{m} b_{n} C m=\left(\delta n d_{n--n m} \quad((m<n m \geq n)),\right.  \tag{1.16}\\
& \delta^{m} b \\
& \text { ( } d_{m b} b_{n}-q-m b_{m} d_{n}=-q-m a_{n} b_{m}+ \\
& b_{n} a_{m}=-n n--m m \delta_{n} b_{m-n} \quad((m<n m \geq n)), \\
& m  \tag{1.17}\\
& (m<n) \\
& -q c_{m} a_{n}+a_{m} C_{n}=c_{n} d_{m}-q d_{n c m}=-q_{n-m \delta n c_{m}-n} \quad(m \geq n), \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \quad{ }^{-m} \delta^{m} d_{n-m}(\quad(m<n) \\
& -q c m b_{n}+a_{m} d_{n}=-q c_{n} b_{m}+d_{n} a_{m}=\delta_{n a_{m-n}} \quad(m \geq n) \text {, }  \tag{1.19}\\
& b_{n} c_{m}-q^{n-m} c_{n} b_{m}=0 . \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need a quantum analogue of Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

Lemma 2.1 ([UW] Lemma 3). The following formula holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}=A C^{-1} \tau-C^{-2} \delta=\tau^{\prime} C A-\delta C^{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Since (1.6) and (1.7) can be similarly proved, we only prove (1.6). These formulas are proved by induction on $n$.

The $n=1$ case is trivial. Assume the case of $n$ holds. Hence, from the induction hypothesis we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{n+1} & =A A^{n} \\
& =A\left\{A C^{-n+1} f_{n-1}(\tau)-C^{-n} \delta f_{n-2}(\tau)\right\} \\
& =A^{2} C^{-n+1} f_{n-1}(\tau)-A C^{-n} \delta f_{n-2}(\tau) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cayley-Hamilton theorem (2.1) and the recursion (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n+1}=(A C-1 \tau-C-2 \delta) C-n+1 f_{n-1}(\tau)-A C-n \delta f_{n-2}(\tau) \\
& =A C-n\left(\tau f_{n-1}(\tau)-\delta f_{n-2}(\tau)\right)-C^{-n-1} \delta f_{n-1}(\tau)=A C-n f_{n}(\tau) \\
& -C-n-1 \delta f_{n-1}(\tau) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula (1.7) can be proved by the similar argument for $A^{n+1}=A^{n} A$.

Corollary 1.2 follows from comparing the entries of $A^{n}$ and (1.6), (1.7) immediately.

Remark 2.2. (1) By consider the classical limit $q=1$ in Corollary 1.2, we recover the classical result (1.1).
(2) From the recursion (1.3), we derive other expressions of $a_{n}$ and $d_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{a_{n}}=q_{-}^{-2} f_{n}(\tau)-q^{n+2} d f_{n-1}(\tau)=q_{-}^{2} f_{n}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-q^{2} f_{n-1}^{n+1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) d, \\
& n  \tag{2.2}\\
& d_{n}=q_{-2}^{2} f_{n}(\tau)-q^{2} a f_{n-1}(\tau)=q^{-}-^{2} f_{n}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-q^{-2} f_{n-1}^{n+1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) a .
\end{align*}
$$

Since these expressions (2.2), (2.3) (and (1.9), (1.10)) hold for $n=0$, Corollary 1.2 is also true for the case of $n=0$. (3) Umeda-Wakayama [UW] considered

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{n}:=\operatorname{tr} A C^{n}=q_{2} a_{n}+q_{2} b_{n} \\
& \tau_{n^{\prime}}:=\operatorname{tr} C_{-n} A_{n}=q_{--n 2} a_{n}+q_{-n 2} b_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

and pointed out that $\tau_{n}$ and $\tau_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ satisfy the following Fibonacci type equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n+1}=\tau_{n} \tau-\tau_{n-1} \delta, \quad \tau_{n+1}^{\prime}=\tau_{n}^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}-\tau_{n-1}^{\prime} \delta \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations (2.4) are equal to the recursion (1.3) of $f_{n}(\tau)$ exactly. Hence by $\tau_{1}=\tau$ and $^{\tau_{1}^{\prime}}=\tau^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}=f_{n}(\tau), \quad \tau_{n}^{\prime}=f_{n}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Applications

We point out that quantum adjoint matrix $A^{\wedge}$ is a $q^{-1}$-quantum matrix and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{\wedge}:=q_{-21} a^{\wedge}+q_{12} d^{\wedge}=q_{12} a+q-21 d=\tau \tau^{\wedge} \\
& :=q_{21} a^{\wedge}+q_{-21} d^{\wedge}=q_{-12} a+q_{21} d=\tau^{\prime}, \delta^{\wedge}:= \\
& a^{\wedge} d^{\wedge}-q^{-1} b c^{\wedge}=d a-q^{-1} b c=\delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we prove Corollary 1.3.
From (1.5), Corollary 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.4 which is a simple prood of Vokos-Zumino-Wess [VZW].

Proof of Theorem 1.4 For any non-negative integers $m, n$, from Corollary 1.3 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}^{m} A^{n} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d_{m} & -q^{-m} b_{m} \\
-q^{m} c_{m} & a_{m}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n} & b_{n} \\
c_{n} & d_{n}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d_{m} a_{n}-q^{-m} b_{m} c_{n} & d_{m} b_{n}-q^{-m} b_{m} d_{n} \\
-q^{m} c_{m} a_{n}+a_{m} c_{n} & -q^{m} c_{m} b_{n}+a_{m} d_{n}
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{n} \hat{A}^{m} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n} & b_{n} \\
c_{n} & d_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d_{m} & -q^{-m} b_{m} \\
-q^{m} c_{m} & a_{m}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n} d_{m}-q^{m} b_{n} c_{m} & -q^{-m} a_{n} b_{m}+b_{n} a_{m} \\
c_{n} d_{m}-q^{m} d_{n} c_{m} & -q^{-m} c_{n} b_{m}+d_{n} a_{m}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand，by applying $A A^{\wedge}=A A^{\wedge} \quad=\delta E_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\wedge} m A_{n}=A_{n} A^{\wedge} m
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -!(m<n) \delta^{m} a \\
& \text { = } \\
& \text { 回 } n A^{\wedge} m n=-q m \delta_{n} d_{n m} \delta_{n C n m} n-q_{n} \delta_{n m} a_{m} \delta_{n b n m} n \quad(m \geq n) \delta \text { 回回 } \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing the entries of（3．1），（3．2）and（3．3）we have（1．16），（1．17），（1．18） and（1．19）．

Finally，the relation（1．20）follows from the explicit formulas（1．9）and （1．10）：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{n} C m=\stackrel{n-1}{ } q_{2} \quad \frac{m-1}{} f_{n-1}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) b q_{-2} c f_{m-1}(\tau) \\
& n-m-\text { - }^{n-1} \quad \text { ' } m \text {-- }-1 \\
& =q \quad q \quad{ }^{2} f_{n-1}(\tau) c q^{2} b f_{m-1}(\tau) \\
& =q_{n-m C n b m} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set $m=n$ in (1.16), (1.17), (1.18), (1.19) and (1.20), then we obtain an interesting Corollary which means that $A^{n}$ is a $2 \times 2 q^{n}$-quantum matrix.

Corollary 3.1. For any non-negative integer $n$, we have $a_{n} b_{n}=q_{n} b_{n} a_{n}, a_{n} C_{n}$
$=q_{n} C_{n} a_{n}, a_{n} d_{n}-d_{n} a_{n}=\left(q_{n}-q-n\right) b_{n} C_{n}$,
$b_{n} c_{n}=c_{n} b_{n}, b_{n} d_{n}=q_{n} d_{n} b_{n}, c_{n} d_{n}=q_{n} d_{n} c_{n}$ and

$$
a_{n} d_{n}-q_{n} b_{n} C_{n}=d_{n} a_{n}-q-n b_{n} C_{n}=\delta_{n} \text {. i.e. }
$$

(the quantum determinant of $\left.A^{n}\right)=(\text { the quantum determinant of } A)^{n}$
Originally, Theorem 1.4 was proved by Vokos-Zumino-Wess [VZW] and its proof was a brute force approach using double induction on $m$ and $n$. Later, Corrigan-Fairlie-Fletcher-Sasaki [CFFS] and Umeda-Wakayama [UW] gave some simple proofs of Corollary 3.1 which is the case of $m=n$ in Theorem 1.4 independently. Our proof of Theorem 1.4 is different from any of them.

In particular, it is desirable to extend Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 to $n$ $\times n$ quantum matrices.
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